

William & Mary Law Review

Alumni Newsletter

The Year in Review

by Jillian Schultz, Volume 56 Editor-in-Chief



Members of the Volume 56 Executive Board

Thanks to the collective efforts of the Volume 56 editorial board and staff members, the *William & Mary Law Review* completed another successful year. Volume 56 includes 35 articles, 14 of which are papers from the 2014 *Law Review* Symposium, “The Contemporary First Amendment: Freedom of Speech, Press, and Assembly,” 10 notes, and Supreme Court Justice Antonin Scalia’s 2014 Commencement Address for a total of 2375 pages.

The *Law Review*’s success is due to the hard work of every member, but I would like to specifically recognize the work of the other four executive board members. It has been a privilege to work with them over the past year and to see them excel in their positions. As Managing Editor, Charlie Cox did an excellent job keeping the *Law Review*’s many moving pieces in place. Meredith Weinberg, our Executive Editor, did a fantastic job chairing the 2014 Joint Journal Committee, managing the *Law Review*’s budget, and planning the *Law Review*’s social events. Through the leadership of our Senior Articles Editor, Nate Kinard, the articles selection committee filled Volume 56 with scholarship that adds to the academic dialogue on a variety of legal issues.

Finally, our Senior Notes Editor, Henry Alderfer, led “Team Notes” through a successful notes selection process and served as an excellent mentor to the 2Ls during their note-writing process.

Volume 56 also relied on the invaluable support provided by our two administrative assistants, Julie Pasipanki and Lynn Murray. Julie and Lynn worked tirelessly to keep us on schedule, and we really appreciate all that they do for the *Law Review*.

In February, the *Law Review* and the Institute of Bill of Rights Law hosted their annual Symposium. This year the Symposium was dedicated to “Plea Bargaining Regulation: The Next Criminal Procedure Frontier.” Leading criminal procedure scholars discussed constitutional restrictions on plea bargaining, the importance of internal policies within prosecutor’s offices, and the unique role of defense lawyers in the criminal justice process. Volume 57 will publish the papers submitted for the Symposium. Many thanks to Professor Adam Gershowitz and Professor Jeffrey Bellin for organizing the Symposium and Colleen Smith, our Symposium Editor, for her hard work coordinating the Symposium.

Looking ahead to Volume 57, we are thrilled to pass the reigns to such a fantastic group. I am confident that under the leadership of Mark Cramer, the incoming Editor-in-Chief, the *Law Review* will continue to thrive. I wish them all the best in the upcoming year.

I would like to express heartfelt thanks to the Volume 56 editorial board and staff members. It was an honor to work with such a talented group, and I will always remain grateful for the opportunity to serve as Editor-in-Chief. Thank you for making Volume 56 a success.



The William & Mary Law School is the oldest law school in the United States. Established in 1779, upon the appointment of George Wythe as the first professor of Law and Police, the Law School operated continuously until the beginning of the Civil War in 1861. During that time, William & Mary Law School educated some of the more prominent names in American history, such as Thomas Jefferson, John Marshall, James Monroe, and Henry Clay. After remaining closed for sixty years, the Law School re-opened in 1921 and has since become one of the top-ranked law schools in the United States. The *William & Mary Law Review* is one of five law journals published by the students of William & Mary Law School. Each year, its members produce six issues of high-quality legal scholarship.

The Year Ahead

by Mark Cramer, Volume 57 Editor-in-Chief



Greetings from the staff and board of Volume 57! My name is Mark Cramer. I am honored to serve as the incoming Editor-in-Chief of the *William & Mary Law Review* and am eager to build on our distinguished tradition of publishing innovative, influential legal scholarship. The Volume 57 publication process is well underway, and we have selected Articles and Notes that will continue our legacy of excellence. Our upcoming Issue 4, for example, will include Articles from the *Law Review*'s 2015 Symposium entitled "Plea Bargaining Regulation: The Next Criminal Procedure Frontier," which featured many of our nation's leading criminal procedure professors describing the complexities of the criminal justice system and proposing changes for its functioning in the future.

I would like to give a special and sincere thank you to Jillian Schultz and the Volume 56 Editorial Board for their selfless leadership, sound guidance, and steadfast dedication to the *Law Review* over the past year. They produced an exceptional volume and have been incredibly generous in devoting so much time and thought to training the Volume 57 Editorial Board. We have benefitted immensely from their knowledge and experience, and I wish them all the best.

As the Volume 57 Board finishes transitioning into our new roles, I want to express how grateful I am both for the honor of leading the *Law Review* in the upcoming year as well as for the opportunity to work with and learn from such a talented, thoughtful team. I'm looking forward to another great year.

A message from our *Faculty Advisor*

Greetings from William & Mary Law School! For several years now, I have had the distinct pleasure of serving as the *William & Mary Law Review*'s faculty advisor. I am honored to continue to serve in that capacity. The *Law Review* continues to maintain its tradition of timely publication of cutting-edge legal scholarship. It has a stellar reputation in the legal academy, and is truly one of the law school's crown jewels.

Jillian Schultz has been an exceptional Editor in Chief. Despite the significant responsibilities of her position, she has always remained calm, cool, and cheerful. Under her stewardship, the *Law Review* has published more than 2,300 pages of scholarly work, including thirty-five articles and ten excellent student notes. The *Law Review* has hosted and published lectures by prominent legal academics. It has also published recent commencement remarks delivered by Supreme Court Justice Antonin Scalia. Finally, it has successfully completed two symposia, one relating to freedom of expression and the other concerning plea bargaining. The symposia featured leaders in the fields of free speech and criminal law. Friends and colleagues who visited the law school to participate in these events have raved about the *Law Review* staff's organization, professionalism, and enthusiasm.

In sum, this was another extraordinarily successful and productive year for the *Law Review*. I want to thank Jillian and the other members for their outstanding work on Volume 56. I look forward to working with the Volume 57 Editorial Board next year.

Sincerely,

Professor Timothy Zick

Alumni Profile: Anna Engh '89

by Kevin G. Bender

Few partners at prestigious international law firms can say they started-out in a small town of 8,000 people and graduated from a high school where fewer than 15% of graduates went to college. Yet that was exactly where Anna P. Engh, managing editor of Volume 30 of the *William & Mary Law Review* and a partner in the insurance litigation practice group of Covington & Burling, LLP started-out. When Ms. Engh enrolled at William & Mary Law School in 1986, no one in her family had ever been a lawyer. Most of her relatives had become Presbyterian ministers and teachers. Ms. Engh would go on to graduate Phi Beta Kappa from Davidson College in 1981 and first in her class at William & Mary Law School in 1989.

Ms. Engh worked in college admissions prior to making the decision to become a lawyer. She says of her decision to go back to school: "I was working in college admissions in Richmond while my husband was doing his residency at MCV. I wanted a new challenge." Ms. Engh had the choice between attending William & Mary Law School or the Darden School of Business at the University of Virginia, where she had also received an offer of admission. Ultimately, she decided she "would prefer to get a JD than an MBA." She also notes, as only a Washington, D.C. area resident could, that, "moreover, the commute was shorter."

Of her time at William & Mary, Ms. Engh says that "I miss the people—both my friends in my class, but also the professors whose classes were challenging and engaging." Serving as managing editor of the *Law Review* prepared Ms. Engh well to work with others to meet tight deadlines. A skill, she says, "that came in handy as partner at a law firm." The attention to detail involved in cite-checking and preparing articles for publication also proved valuable to her in her future legal career as well. Of her many memories of serving on *Law Review*, "my fondest was when my colleagues on the *Law Review* threw a baby shower for me in my third year when I was

pregnant with my first child. I still have pictures from the event." Immediately after graduating, Ms. Engh had to confront two major "B's" in her life—a baby and the bar examination. "Not a very smart combination, in hindsight!" she says.



Anna Engh '89

Ms. Engh clerked for the Honorable Judge John Butzner, Jr. on the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals from 1989 to 1990. Although she clerked for Arnold & Porter, Gibson Dunn, and Hunton & Williams while in law school, Ms. Engh chose to join the Washington, D.C. office of Covington & Burling after her judicial clerkship. She has been with the firm ever since. Her numerous awards and honors include being named to Best Lawyers in America, Washington D.C. Super Lawyers, Vault's Legal Women Leaders, the Capital Pro Bono Honor Roll, and Euromoney's World's Leading Women in Business Law. While at Covington, Ms. Engh has served on

the Management Committee, as Hiring Partner, and as co-chair of the Summer Associate Program. Some of Ms. Engh's most interesting experiences with the firm came from serving as hiring partner "because of all the interesting people whom I have had the opportunity to interview and talk to throughout the process." She says checking references can often be the most surprising part of the hiring process. Just recently, she placed a call to former Secretary of State Henry Kissinger.

As an alumna, Ms. Engh has had taken advantage of numerous opportunities to stay involved with the William & Mary Law School community. From 2009 to 2011, she was President of the William & Mary Law School Foundation Board. In 2008, she had the honor of serving on the Dean Search Committee when former Dean Taylor Reveley became the 27th President of the College of William & Mary. She says of Dean Douglas, "he stood out to be me because of his long record as a respected and popular professor at the law school, his keen intellect, his good ideas for the future, but most critically, his sound judgement." As proof of the importance of William & Mary and the *Law Review* to her career, Ms. Engh says, "I still have the stuffed elephant that Neil McBrayer, one of my *Law Review* friends, gave me."

Plea Bargaining Regulation: The Next Criminal Procedure Frontier

A William & Mary Law Review Symposium

On February 20 and 21, nearly two dozen of the most prominent criminal procedure scholars in the nation convened at William & Mary Law School for the annual *Law Review* Symposium. The symposium—Plea Bargaining Regulation: The Next Criminal Procedure Frontier—focused on constitutional restrictions on plea bargaining, the importance of internal policies within prosecutors’ offices, the unique role of defense lawyers in the criminal justice process, and a comparative international perspective.

Recently, in two prominent decisions—*Missouri v. Frye* and *Lafler v. Cooper*—the

Supreme Court finally recognized that plea bargaining “is not some adjunct to the criminal justice system; it is the criminal justice system.” William & Mary law professors Jeffry Bellin and Adam Gershowitz, who helped organize the event, brought together a wide variety of legal scholars to discuss what impact this declaration will have on the plea bargaining system.

The Law School’s Institute of Bill of Rights Law sponsored the event. All of the papers from the Symposium will be published in Volume 57 of the *William & Mary Law Review*.

William & Mary Law Review Symposium co-hosted with the Institute of Bill of Rights Law

PARTICIPANTS

Understanding Plea Bargains

Carol A. Brook, Federal Defender Program for the Northern District of Illinois

Brandon L. Garrett, University of Virginia School of Law

Christopher Slobogin, Vanderbilt Law School

Constitutional Parameters

Stephanos Bibas, University of Pennsylvania Law School

Donald A. Dripps, University of San Diego School of Law

Josh Bowers, University of Virginia School of Law

Regulating Plea Bargaining

Darryl K. Brown, University of Virginia School of Law

Roger A. Fairfax, Jr., George Washington University School of Law

Nancy King, Vanderbilt Law School

Defense and Prosecution Roles

I. Bennett Capers, Brooklyn Law School

Gabriel J. Chin, UC Davis School of Law

Jenny Roberts, American University Washington College of Law

Ronald Wright, Wake Forest University School of Law

Comparative Perspective

Paul Marcus, William & Mary Law School

Jenia I. Turner, Southern Methodist University Dedman School of Law

Student Accomplishments of Note

The *Law Review* is proud that its members maintain successful personal and professional lives outside of the Wolf Law Library. Here is a sampling of Volume 56's many accomplishments from the last year.

BIRTHS

Alex Mackler ('14) and his wife, Laura, welcomed their first child, Sam, in June 2014.

ENGAGEMENTS

Emily Strider (3L) and Benjamin Abel (3L) are engaged to be married on April 2, 2016.

Charlie Brewer (3L) and Taylor Denslow are engaged to be married on August 29, 2015.

PUBLICATIONS AND WRITING AWARDS

Kevin Bender (2L) won the Elliot A. Spoon Business & Securities Law Writing Competition for *Giving the Average Investor the Keys to the Kingdom: How the Federal Securities Laws Facilitate Wealth Inequality*, which was published in Michigan State University's Journal of Business & Securities Law.

TRIAL TEAM

Max Meese (3L) won first place at the ABA Labor and Employment Regional Competition, D.C. Region.

Max Meese (3L) won first place at the Academy of Trial Lawyers Mock Trial Competition in Pittsburgh, PA and received the Best Advocate Award.

Nate Kinard (3L) had the first place brief in the South Texas Mock Trial Competition.

Nate Kinard (3L) had the second place plaintiff's brief in the Stetson Mock Trial Invitational.

Shari Nikoo (3L) won first place at the Regional BLSA Trial Competition and third place at the National BLSA Trial Competition.

Shari Nikoo (3L) won third place at the FSU Trial Competition.

MOOT COURT

Chris Kaltsas (3L) was selected as Moot Court Chief Justice.

Henry Alderfer (3L) competed in the National Veterans Law Moot Court Competition and was a quarterfinalist.

OTHER

Brooke Hettig (3L) was named a Benjamin Rush Scholar.

Chris Kaltsas (3L) was awarded the Order of Barristers.

Kathryn Ashley (2L) was elected Secretary of the Student Bar Association.

Mark Cramer, Lenny Simmons, Lauren Stocks-Smith, Kathleen Zaratzian (2Ls) were appointed Honor Council Associate Justices for 2014-2015.


Kathryn Ashley (2L) will be a Teaching Assistant for Trial Advocacy.

Amber Will (2L) will be a Teaching Assistant for Criminal Law.

Elizabeth Rademacher (2L) will be a Teaching Assistant for Torts.

Kevin Bender (2L) will be a Teaching Assistant for Criminal Law.

Jacob Derr (2L) will be a Teaching Assistant for Constitutional Law.



Class Notes: 3L Destinations

Henry Alderfer

Morris, Nichols, Arsht &
Tunnell LLP
Wilmington, DE

Jillian Askren

U.S. District Court,
Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk, VA

Elizabeth Barry

Woods Rogers
Roanoke, VA

Mike Beville

Hunton & Williams
Richmond, VA

Charlie Brewer

Hunton & Williams
Richmond, VA

Chris Browne

Potter Anderson & Corroon
Wilmington, DE

Kaitlin Cannavo

Troutman Sanders
Richmond, VA

Kelsey Castleberry

Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher
& Flom
New York, NY

Matthew Chiarello

Snell & Wilmer LLP
Phoenix, AZ

Charlie Cox

Goodwin Proctor
Washington, D.C.

Aaron Gold

Hon. Anne M. Patterson
Supreme Court of New Jersey
Morristown, NJ

Kang He

Hon. John Tran
Fairfax Circuit Court
Fairfax, VA

Brooke Hettig

Norfolk Circuit Court
Norfolk, VA

Andrew Iammarino

Jones Day
Cleveland, OH

Chris Kaltsas

New Jersey Superior Court,
Appellate Division

Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland
& Peretti
Morristown, NJ

Nate Kinard

Hon. Andrew J. Kleinfeld
U.S. Court of Appeals for the
Ninth Circuit
Fairbanks, AK

Lorin Kolcun

Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & Mc-
Cloy
New York, NY

Will Ladnier

Hon. Sharon G. Lee
Tennessee Supreme Court
Knoxville, TN

Kelly Martin

McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC
Harrisburg, PA

Karel Mazanec

Venable LLP
Washington, D.C.

Maximilian Meese

The Webb Law Firm
Pittsburgh, PA

Rebecca Mishner

Silent Partners Group
East Brunswick, NJ

Shari Nikoo

U.S. Government Accountability
Office
Washington, D.C.

Kevin Ryan

New Jersey Superior Court
Morristown, NJ

Jillian Schultz

U.S. District Court,
Eastern District of Virginia
Norfolk, VA

Colleen Smith

Hon. Liam O'Grady
Eastern District of Virginia
Alexandria, VA

Covington & Burling
Washington, D.C.

Peter Vogel

Reed Smith, LLP
Washington, D.C.

Anjali Vohra

Nixon Peabody LLP
Washington, D.C.

Meredith Weinberg

Nelson Mullins
Columbia, SC

Christine Wilson

Ropes & Gray LLP
New York, NY

Debbie Wong

Hon. Glen E. Conrad
Western District of Virginia
Roanoke, VA

K&L Gates LLP
Washington, D.C.

The Articles of Volume 56

by Nate Kinard, Volume 56 Senior Articles Editor

With a discerning eye and countless hours spent poring over thousands of submissions, Volume 56's Articles Selection Committee did an outstanding job selecting the articles featured this year in the *Law Review*. Below is a brief sample of some selected articles.

Two articles examine litigation financing and control. Jay Tidmarsh details in *Auctioning Class Settlements* a method to limit a class action's representative and counsel from opportunistic settlements. He argues that when a settlement is reached, the court should auction the suit to a third party. This deters opportunistic behavior by the representative and counsel, and the outcome of the auction serves as evidence of the settlement's fairness. Writing on a similar tack, Anthony Sebok attacks the justifications for preventing parties from selling control of their lawsuits in *Should the Law Preserve Party Control?* Looking for comparison to the now-common alienation of control in subrogation and liability insurance suits, he finds that courts should allow sale of party control to a stranger.

Juries receive attention too. In *Group Agency and Legal Proof*, Michael Pardo explores the effects of viewing the jury as a single, group agent, parsing out precisely what aspects of a fact pattern jurors must agree on to find that the plaintiff or prosecution satisfied its burden. Tania Tetlow, in contrast, does not even reach the merits. In *Solving Batson*, she presents the first exposition of



The Volume 56 Articles Committee

how a Sixth Amendment basis for regulating juror diversity would operate in practice, arguing that Batson's focus on jurors' equal protection rights impedes the primary goal of accurate jury decisions.

Theories of statutory interpretation make an appearance. Mark Seidenfeld posits in *A Process Failure Theory of Statutory Interpretation* a comprehensive theory of when courts should look to legislative history. In (unfair) brevity, courts should examine legislative history when there is reason to believe that Congress is unaware that a court would interpret the text's meaning differently from Congress. He helpfully analyzes particular circumstances where this incongruity is likely to be present. Zooming out to a decidedly more theoretical level, Paul Kahn and Kiel Brennan-Marquez argue in *Statutes and Democratic Self-Authorship* that due to the nature of self-rule, it must be the people who are the authors of statutes, not the legislature, who only draft the statutes. This leads to the conclusion that the "role of courts is to explain the law as advancing a public purpose

that the people can imagine as their own."

Several articles unabashedly challenged major aspects of our legal system. In a piece already attracting attention in academic circles, Martin Redish and Jennifer Aronoff argue in *The Real Problem with State Judicial Selection* that judicial retention elections create constitutionally significant Due Process problems. Julia Simon-Kerr makes similarly significant charges in *Systemic Lying*, discussing circumstances where there is widespread lying in the judicial system, explainable by the liar's belief that the lie furthers a higher cause. She observes that while these lies are strong evidence of "collective dissonance between moral beliefs and [our justice system's] legal prescriptions," the benefits of those lies (if any) are outweighed by the undermining of our legal system.

Other articles focus on particularly timely issues. Jessica Roberts theorizes in *Protecting Privacy to Prevent Discrimination* that limiting employer access to certain private information (e.g. DNA) can prevent discrimination against employees for non-obvious traits (e.g. national origin). Jean Galbraith's article, *Congress's Treaty-Implementing Power in Historical Practice*, follows closely behind the Supreme Court's recent decision in *United States v. Bond*. Addressing an issue the Bond Court left unresolved, Galbraith finds a congressional practice of viewing the Necessary and Proper Clause.

The Scholarship of Volume 56

ISSUE ONE (OCTOBER 2014)

Articles:

Martin H. Redish & Jennifer Aronoff, *The Real Constitutional Problem With State Judicial Selection: Due Process, Judicial Retention, and the Dangers of Popular Constitutionalism*

Jean Galbraith, *Congress's Treaty-Implementing Power in Historical Practice*

Paul W. Kahn & Kiel Brennan-Marquez, *Statutes and Democratic Self-Authorship*

Daryl J. Levinson, *Incapacitating the State*

Jay Tidmarsh, *Auctioning Class Settlements*

Notes:

Christopher G. Browne, *Tortured Prosecuting: Closing the Gap in Virginia's Criminal Code By Adding A Torture Statute*

August T. Johannsen, *Mitigating the Impact of Title VII's New Retaliation Standard: The Americans With Disabilities Act After University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center v. Nassar*

ISSUE TWO (NOVEMBER 2014)

Articles:

Franklin A. Gevurtz, *Determining Extraterritoriality*

Zachary J. Gubler, *Reconsidering the Institutional Design of Federal Securities Regulation*

Mark Seidenfeld, *A Process Failure Theory of Statutory Interpretation*

John F. Stinneford, *Death, Desuetude, and Original Meaning*

Notes:

Henry D. Alderfer, *Of Pornography Pirates and Privateers: Applying FDCCA Principles To Copyright Trolling Litigation*

Karel Mazanec, *Capping E-Discovery Costs: A Hybrid Solution To E-Discovery Abuse*

ISSUE THREE (FEBRUARY 2015)

Articles:

Eric Berger, *The Rhetoric of Constitutional Absolutism*

Tonja Jacobi & Jonah Kind, *Criminal Innovation and the Warrant Requirement: Reconsidering the Rights-Police Efficiency Trade-Of*

Anthony J. Sebok, *Should the Law Preserve Party Control?: Litigation Investment, Insurance Law, and Double Standards*

J.H. Verkerke, *Legal Ignorance and Information-Forcing Rules*

Notes:

Christopher Kaltsas, *Harmony at the Farm : Rediscovering the "Community" in Community Supported Agriculture*

Emily K. Strider, *Don't Text a Driver: Civil Liability of Remote Third-Party Texters After Kubert v. Best*

ISSUE FOUR (APRIL 2015)

Articles:

Ashutosh Bhagwat, *Producing Speech*

Joseph Blocher, *New Problems for Subsidized Speech*

Julie E. Cohen, *The Zombie First Amendment*

John D. Inazu, *The First Amendment's Public Forum*

Leslie Kendrick, *First Amendment Expansionism*

Heidi Kitrosser, *Leak Prosecution and the First Amendment: New Developments and a Closer Look at the Feasibility of Protecting Leakers*

Ronald J. Krotoszynski, Jr., *Reconciling Privacy and Speech in the Era of Big Data: A Comparative Legal Analysis*

Gregory P. Magarian, *The Marrow of Tradition: The Roberts Court and Categorical First Amendment Speech Exclusions*

Mary-Rose Papandrea, *National Security Information Disclosures and the Role of Intent*

Martin H. Redish & Kelsey B. Shust, *The Right of Publicity and the First Amendment in the Modern Age of Commercial Speech*

Neil M. Richards, *Why Data Privacy Law Is (Mostly) Constitutional*

Mark D. Rosen, *When are Constitutional Rights Non-Absolute? McCutcheon, Conflicts, and the Sufficiency Questi*

Frederick Shauer, *The Politics and Incentives of First Amendment Coverage*

Mark Tushnet, *Internet Exceptionalism: An Overview from General Constitutional Law*

ISSUE FIVE (MAY 2015)

Articles:

Margaret M. Blair & Elizabeth Pollman, *The Derivative Nature of Corporate Constitutional Rights*

Irina D. Manta, *Intellectual Property and the Presumption of Innocence*

Michael S. Pardo, *Group Agency and Legal Proof; or, Why the Jury is an "It"*

Tania Tetlow, *Solving Batson*

Notes:

James Andris, *Adopting Proactive Standards to Protect Americans in Indoor Environments: Volatile Organic Compound Emissions Regulation*

Maximilian Meese, *A Pasture Theory of Creative Controls: A New Approach to Copyright and Patent Subject Matter Overgrowth*

ISSUE SIX (JUNE 2015)

Articles:

Erin Morrow Hawley, *The Supreme Court's Quiet Revolution: Redefining the Meaning of Jurisdiction*

Jessica L. Roberts, *Protecting Privacy to Protect Discrimination*

Julia Simon-Kerr, *Systemic Lying*

Brian Z. Tamanaha, *The Third Pillar of Jurisprudence: Social-Legal Theory*

Notes:

Matthew Chiarello, *Settling the Long War: Alternative Dispute Resolution & the War on Terror*

Aaron Gold, *Obsured by Clouds: Searching Cloud Storage Accounts through Locally Installed Software*

The Notes of Volume 57

Each year, students submit many excellent notes for consideration by the Notes Committee. Congratulations to the following authors whose notes have been selected for publication in Volume 57 of the *William & Mary Law Review*.

Jacob Derr, *Measuring Mergers: Limiting Cable's Uninhibited Growth, Protecting Market Competition, and Helping the Television Consumer*

Carrie Miller, *Exposing Politically Active Tax-Exempt Groups Through FEC-IRS Hybrid Enforcement*

Connor Baer, *Drugs for the Indigent: A Proposal to Revise the 340B Drug Pricing Program*

Cameron Ginder, *NCAA and the Rule of Reason: Analyzing Improved Education Quality as a Procompetitive Justification*

Justin Pierce, *Shifting Data Breach Liability: A Congressional Approach*

Liz Rademacher, *The Beginning of the End: Using Ohio's Plan to Eliminate Juvenile Solitary Confinement as a Model for Statutory Elimination of Juvenile Solitary Confinement*



Volume 56 Notes Selection Committee

Melissa Fussell, *Dead Men Bring No Claims: How Takings Claims Can Provide Redress for Victims of Real Property Theft during Dixie's Holocaust*

Matthew Jewitt, *Encouraging Transportation-Oriented Development in the United States through the Application of "Earned-as-of-Location" Credits*

John Sanders, *A Tiny Fish with a Big Problem: Natives, Elvers, and the Maine Indian Claims Settlement Act of 1980*

Ryan Schuster, *Roe's Awkward Cousin: A Case Against Wrongful Life*

The Nation's Top Law Journals

The William & Mary Law Review continues to be well regarded by the legal academy and the judiciary. The most recent law review ranking, compiled by Washington & Lee, places the *Law Review* as the twenty-first-ranked journal based on the number of citations to the *Review* in legal scholarship and judicial opinions.

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| 1. Stanford Law Review | 10. Texas Law Review | 18. Iowa Law Review |
| 2. Harvard Law Review | 11. Minnesota Law Review | 19. Notre Dame Law Review |
| 3. The Yale Law Journal | 12. California Law Review | 20. Vanderbilt Law Review |
| 4. Columbia Law Review | 13. Northwestern University Law Review | 21. William & Mary Law Review |
| 5. University of Pennsylvania Law Review | 14. New York University Law Review | 22. Boston University Law Review |
| 6. The Georgetown Law Journal | 15. Cornell Law Review | 23. Boston College Law Review |
| 7. UCLA Law Review | 16. Duke Law Journal | 24. University of Illinois Law Review |
| 8. Michigan Law Review | 16. Fordham Law Review | 25. The University of Chicago Law Review |
| 9. Virginia Law Review | | |

WILLIAM & MARY LAW REVIEW

VOLUME 56

2014-2015

EDITORIAL BOARD

Editor-in-Chief

JILLIAN W. SCHULTZ

Managing Editor

CHARLES T. COX, JR.

Executive Editor

MEREDITH A. WEINBERG

Senior Articles Editor

NATHAN L. KINARD

Senior Notes Editor

JANET C. SULLY

Lead Articles Editors

NADIA S. ABRAMSON

JILLIAN M. ASKREN

CHARLES L. BREWER

KAITLIN L. CANNAVO

LORIN C. KOLCUN

ANJALI VOHRA

Lead Notes Editor

AARON J. GOLD

Articles Editors

SEAN C. CAMPBELL

BROOKE I. HETTIG

WILLIAM A. LADNIER

KELLY L. MARTIN

EMILY K. STRIDER

Notes Editors

MATTHEW P. CHIARELLO

KANG HE

CHRISTOPHER KALTSAS

MAXIMILLIAN D. MEESE

Symposium Editor

COLLEEN SMITH

Communications Editor

DEBRA E. WONG

Staff

JAMES M. ANDRIS

ELIZABETH C. BARRY

MICHAEL R. BEVILLE

CHRISTOPHER G. BROWNE

KELSEY M. CASTLEBERRY

ANDREW D. IMMARINO

AUGUST T. JOHANNSEN

GLENDON D. KING

SCOTT W. MASSELLI

KAREL MAZANEC

REBECCA L. MISHNER

SHAHRZAD NIKOO

ALEZANDER R. PHILLIPS

PETER H. VOGEL

CHRISTINE K. WILSON

KATHRYN L. ASHLEY

CONNOR J. BAER

KEVIN G. BENDER

ELIZABETH L. BERRY

CAMERON S. BOSTER

GRAHAM K. BRYANT

KAITLYN R. CHOUNET

LACEY R. COPPAGE

BRIANA M. CORNELIUS

MARK C. CRAMER

BRIA J.M. CUNNINGHAM

JACOB M. DERR

ERIC S. FLEMING

MELISSA A. FUSSELL

PHILLIP A. GIAMMONA

CAMERON D. GINDER

MATTHEW G. JEWITT

KELLY E. JOHNSON

ELIZABETH A. LARNER

STEPHEN E. MATOUS

ERIN A. MCNAMARA

CARRIE E. MILLER

LUCAS I. PANGLE

MEGHAN M. PHILLIPS

JUSTIN C. PIERCE

KRISTIN M. POOLE

ELIZABETH M. RADEMACHER

PETER J. RECHTER

TYLER J. ROSA

JOHN M. SANDERS

W. RYAN SCHUSTER

LEONARD D. SIMMONS

ABIGAIL J. SNIDER

LAUREN R. STROCKS-SMITH

MARY C. TOPIC

PHILIP Z. VAN KEUREN

JENNIFER L. WATSON

AMBER R. WILL

JACK R. WILSON IV

KATHLEEN R. ZARATZIAN

Faculty Advisor

Timothy Zick

Administrative Assistants

Julie Pasipanki & Lynn Murray

Published by students of William & Mary Law School

Member, National Conference of Law Reviews and Southern Conference of Law Reviews



Alumni Donation Form

Don't forget, donations
to the William & Mary
School of Law may be
earmarked to Law Review.

Name_____

WorkAddress_____

HomeAddress_____

Phone(day)_____(evening)_____

Graduation Year_____ Donation Amount_____

Is there a specific need to which you would like your contribution applied?


Comments/suggestions_____

Please make checks payable to “Marshall-Wythe School of Law Foundation” and indicate in the memo line that you would like your contribution to be applied to *William & Mary Law Review* activities. The Foundation is a 501(c)(3) corporation; any contribution is tax deductible.

Mail to: William & Mary School of Law
Attn: Executive Editor, William & Mary Law Review
P.O. Box 8795
Williamsburg, VA 23187-8795

Thank you for your support of the *William & Mary Law Review*.
Please feel free to contact us at (757) 221-3860 or lawreview@email.wm.edu.

Support Your Law Review Order a Subscription



Subscription Request Form

Name_____

MailingAddress_____

Phone(day)_____ (evening)_____

E-mail_____

William & Mary Law Review is published six times per year.

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$40.00 domestic rate for Volume 56 | <input type="checkbox"/> \$8.00 per current issue domestic mailing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> \$44.00 foreign rate for Volume 5 | <input type="checkbox"/> \$9.00 per current issue foreign mailing |

Absent receipt of written notice to the contrary, subscriptions to the William & Mary Law Review will be renewed automatically each year.

Please make checks payable to William & Mary Law

Mail to: William & Mary School of Law
Attn: Executive Editor, William & Mary Law Review
P.O. Box 8795
Williamsburg, VA 23187-8795