The Year in Review
by Jillian Schultz, Volume 56 Editor-in-Chief

Thanks to the collective efforts of the Volume 56 editorial board and staff members, the William & Mary Law Review completed another successful year. Volume 56 includes 35 articles, 14 of which are papers from the 2014 Law Review Symposium, “The Contemporary First Amendment: Freedom of Speech, Press, and Assembly,” 10 notes, and Supreme Court Justice Antonin Scalia’s 2014 Commencement Address for a total of 2375 pages.

The Law Review’s success is due to the hard work of every member, but I would like to specifically recognize the work of the other four executive board members. It has been a privilege to work with them over the past year and to see them excel in their positions. As Managing Editor, Charlie Cox did an excellent job keeping the Law Review’s many moving pieces in place. Meredith Weinberg, our Executive Editor, did a fantastic job chairing the 2014 Joint Journal Committee, managing the Law Review’s budget, and planning the Law Review’s social events. Through the leadership of our Senior Articles Editor, Nate Kinard, the articles selection committee filled Volume 56 with scholarship that adds to the academic dialogue on a variety of legal issues.

Finally, our Senior Notes Editor, Henry Alderfer, led “Team Notes” through a successful notes selection process and served as an excellent mentor to the 2Ls during their note-writing process.

Volume 56 also relied on the invaluable support provided by our two administrative assistants, Julie Pasipanki and Lynn Murray. Julie and Lynn worked tirelessly to keep us on schedule, and we really appreciate all that they do for the Law Review.

In February, the Law Review and the Institute of Bill of Rights Law hosted their annual Symposium. This year the Symposium was dedicated to “Plea Bargaining Regulation: The Next Criminal Procedure Frontier.” Leading criminal procedure scholars discussed constitutional restrictions on plea bargaining, the importance of internal policies within prosecutor’s offices, and the unique role of defense lawyers in the criminal justice process. Volume 57 will publish the papers submitted for the Symposium. Many thanks to Professor Adam Gershowitz and Professor Jeffrey Bellin for organizing the Symposium and Colleen Smith, our Symposium Editor, for her hard work coordinating the Symposium.

Looking ahead to Volume 57, we are thrilled to pass the reigns to such a fantastic group. I am confident that under the leadership of Mark Cramer, the incoming Editor-in-Chief, the Law Review will continue to thrive. I wish them all the best in the upcoming year.

I would like to express heartfelt thanks to the Volume 56 editorial board and staff members. It was an honor to work with such a talented group, and I will always remain grateful for the opportunity to serve as Editor-in-Chief. Thank you for making Volume 56 a success.
Greetings from the staff and board of Volume 57! My name is Mark Cramer. I am honored to serve as the incoming Editor-in-Chief of the William & Mary Law Review and am eager to build on our distinguished tradition of publishing innovative, influential legal scholarship. The Volume 57 publication process is well underway, and we have selected Articles and Notes that will continue our legacy of excellence. Our upcoming Issue 4, for example, will include Articles from the Law Review’s 2015 Symposium entitled “Plea Bargaining Regulation: The Next Criminal Procedure Frontier,” which featured many of our nation’s leading criminal procedure professors describing the complexities of the criminal justice system and proposing changes for its functioning in the future.

I would like to give a special and sincere thank you to Jillian Schultz and the Volume 56 Editorial Board for their selfless leadership, sound guidance, and steadfast dedication to the Law Review over the past year. They produced an exceptional volume and have been incredibly generous in devoting so much time and thought to training the Volume 57 Editorial Board. We have benefitted immensely from their knowledge and experience, and I wish them all the best.

As the Volume 57 Board finishes transitioning into our new roles, I want to express how grateful I am both for the honor of leading the Law Review in the upcoming year as well as for the opportunity to work with and learn from such a talented, thoughtful team. I’m looking forward to another great year.

Greetings from William & Mary Law School! For several years now, I have had the distinct pleasure of serving as the William & Mary Law Review’s faculty advisor. I am honored to continue to serve in that capacity. The Law Review continues to maintain its tradition of timely publication of cutting-edge legal scholarship. It has a stellar reputation in the legal academy, and is truly one of the law school’s crown jewels.

Jillian Schultz has been an exceptional Editor in Chief. Despite the significant responsibilities of her position, she has always remained calm, cool, and cheerful. Under her stewardship, the Law Review has published more than 2,300 pages of scholarly work, including thirty-five articles and ten excellent student notes. The Law Review has hosted and published lectures by prominent legal academics. It has also published recent commencement remarks delivered by Supreme Court Justice Antonin Scalia. Finally, it has successfully completed two symposia, one relating to freedom of expression and the other concerning plea bargaining. The symposia featured leaders in the fields of free speech and criminal law. Friends and colleagues who visited the law school to participate in these events have raved about the Law Review staff’s organization, professionalism, and enthusiasm.

In sum, this was another extraordinarily successful and productive year for the Law Review. I want to thank Jillian and the other members for their outstanding work on Volume 56. I look forward to working with the Volume 57 Editorial Board next year.

Sincerely,

Professor Timothy Zick
Few partners at prestigious international law firms can say they started-out in a small town of 8,000 people and graduated from a high school where fewer than 15% of graduates went to college. Yet that was exactly where Anna P. Engh, managing editor of Volume 30 of the William & Mary Law Review and a partner in the insurance litigation practice group of Covington & Burling, LLP started-out. When Ms. Engh enrolled at William & Mary Law School in 1986, no one in her family had ever been a lawyer. Most of her relatives had become Presbyterian ministers and teachers. Ms. Engh would go on to graduate Phi Beta Kappa from Davidson College in 1981 and first in her class at William & Mary Law School in 1989.

Ms. Engh worked in college admissions prior to making the decision to become a lawyer. She says of her decision to go back to school: “I was working in college admissions in Richmond while my husband was doing his residency at MCV. I wanted a new challenge.” Ms. Engh had the choice between attending William & Mary Law School or the Darden School of Business at the University of Virginia, where she had also received an offer of admission. Ultimately, she decided she “would prefer to get a JD than an MBA.” She also notes, as only a Washington, D.C. area resident could, that, “moreover, the commute was shorter.”

Of her time at William & Mary, Ms. Engh says that “I miss the people—both my friends in my class, but also the professors whose classes were challenging and engaging.” Serving as managing editor of the Law Review prepared Ms. Engh well to work with others to meet tight deadlines. A skill, she says, “that came in handy as partner at a law firm.” The attention to detail involved in cite-checking and preparing articles for publication also proved valuable to her in her future legal career as well. Of her many memories of serving on Law Review, “my fondest was when my colleagues on the Law Review threw a baby shower for me in my third year when I was pregnant with my first child. I still have pictures from the event.” Immediately after graduating, Ms. Engh had to confront two major “B’s” in her life—a baby and the bar examination. “Not a very smart combination, in hindsight!” she says.

Ms. Engh clerked for the Honorable Judge John Butzner, Jr. on the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals from 1989 to 1990. Although she clerked for Arnold & Porter, Gibson Dunn, and Hunton & Williams while in law school, Ms. Engh chose to join the Washington, D.C. office of Covington & Burling after her judicial clerkship. She has been with the firm ever since. Her numerous awards and honors include being named to Best Lawyers in America, Washington D.C. Super Lawyers, Vault’s Legal Women Leaders, the Capital Pro Bono Honor Roll, and Euromoney’s World’s Leading Women in Business Law. While at Covington, Ms. Engh has served on the Management Committee, as Hiring Partner, and as co-chair of the Summer Associate Program. Some of Ms. Engh’s most interesting experiences with the firm came from serving as hiring partner “because of all the interesting people whom I have had the opportunity to interview and talk to throughout the process.” She says checking references can often be the most surprising part of the hiring process. Just recently, she placed a call to former Secretary of State Henry Kissinger.

As an alumna, Ms. Engh has had taken advantage of numerous opportunities to stay involved with the William & Mary Law School community. From 2009 to 2011, she was President of the William & Mary Law School Foundation Board. In 2008, she had the honor of serving on the Dean Search Committee when former Dean Taylor Reveley became the 27th President of the College of William & Mary. She says of Dean Douglas, “he stood out to be me because of his long record as a respected and popular professor at the law school, his keen intellect, his good ideas for the future, but most critically, his sound judgement.” As proof of the importance of William & Mary and the Law Review to her career, Ms. Engh says, “I still have the stuffed elephant that Neil McBrayer, one of my Law Review friends, gave me.”
Plea Bargaining Regulation: The Next Criminal Procedure Frontier

A William & Mary Law Review Symposium

On February 20 and 21, nearly two dozen of the most prominent criminal procedure scholars in the nation convened at William & Mary Law School for the annual Law Review Symposium. The symposium—Plea Bargaining Regulation: The Next Criminal Procedure Frontier—focused on constitutional restrictions on plea bargaining, the importance of internal policies within prosecutors’ offices, the unique role of defense lawyers in the criminal justice process, and a comparative international perspective.

Recently, in two prominent decisions—Missouri v. Frye and Lafler v. Cooper—the Supreme Court finally recognized that plea bargaining “is not some adjunct to the criminal justice system; it is the criminal justice system.” William & Mary law professors Jeffry Bellin and Adam Gershowitz, who helped organize the event, brought together a wide variety of legal scholars to discuss what impact this declaration will have on the plea bargaining system.

The Law School’s Institute of Bill of Rights Law sponsored the event. All of the papers from the Symposium will be published in Volume 57 of the William & Mary Law Review.

William & Mary Law Review Symposium co-hosted with the Institute of Bill of Rights Law

Participants

Understanding Plea Bargains

Carol A. Brook, Federal Defender Program for the Northern District of Illinois
Brandon L. Garrett, University of Virginia School of Law
Christopher Slobogin, Vanderbilt Law School

Regulating Plea Bargaining

Darryl K. Brown, University of Virginia School of Law
Roger A. Fairfax, Jr., George Washington University School of Law
Nancy King, Vanderbilt Law School

Comparative Perspective

Paul Marcus, William & Mary Law School
Jenia I. Turner, Southern Methodist University Dedman School of Law

Constitutional Parameters

Stephanos Bibas, University of Pennsylvania Law School
Donald A. Dripps, University of San Diego School of Law
Josh Bowers, University of Virginia School of Law

Defense and Prosecution Roles

I. Bennett Capers, Brooklyn Law School
Gabriel J. Chin, UC Davis School of Law
Jenny Roberts, American University Washington College of Law
Ronald Wright, Wake Forest University School of Law
The Law Review is proud that its members maintain successful personal and professional lives outside of the Wolf Law Library. Here is a sampling of Volume 56’s many accomplishments from the last year.

BIRTHS

Alex Mackler (’14) and his wife, Laura, welcomed their first child, Sam, in June 2014.

ENGAGEMENTS

Emily Strider (3L) and Benjamin Abel (3L) are engaged to be married on April 2, 2016.

Charlie Brewer (3L) and Taylor Denslow are engaged to be married on August 29, 2015.

PUBLICATIONS AND WRITING AWARDS

Kevin Bender (2L) won the Elliot A. Spoon Business & Securities Law Writing Competition for Giving the Average Investor the Keys to the Kingdom: How the Federal Securities Laws Facilitate Wealth Inequality, which was published in Michigan State University’s Journal of Business & Securities Law.

TRIAL TEAM

Max Meese (3L) won first place at the ABA Labor and Employment Regional Competition, D.C. Region.

Max Meese (3L) won first place at the Academy of Trial Lawyers Mock Trial Competition in Pittsburgh, PA and received the Best Advocate Award.

Nate Kinard (3L) had the first place brief in the South Texas Mock Trial Competition.

Nate Kinard (3L) had the second place plaintiff’s brief in the Stetson Mock Trial Invitational.

Shari Nikoo (3L) won first place at the Regional BLSA Trial Competition and third place at the National BLSA Trial Competition.

Shari Nikoo (3L) won third place at the PSU Trial Competition.

MOOT COURT

Chris Kaltsas (3L) was selected as Moot Court Chief Justice.

Henry Alderfer (3L) competed in the National Veterans Law Moot Court Competition and was a quarterfinalist.

OTHER

Brooke Hettig (3L) was named a Benjamin Rush Scholar.

Chris Kaltsas (3L) was awarded the Order of Barristers.

Kathryn Ashley (2L) was elected Secretary of the Student Bar Association.

Mark Cramer, Lenny Simmons, Lauren Stocksm-Smith, Kathleen Zaratzian (2Ls) were appointed Honor Council Associate Justices for 2014–2015.

Kathryn Ashley (2L) will be a Teaching Assistant for Trial Advocacy.

Amber Will (2L) will be a Teaching Assistant for Criminal Law.

Elizabeth Rademacher (2L) will be a Teaching Assistant for Torts.

Kevin Bender (2L) will be a Teaching Assistant for Criminal Law.

Jacob Derr (2L) will be a Teaching Assistant for Constitutional Law.
Class Notes: 3L Destinations

**Henry Alderfer**  
Morris, Nichols, Arst & Tunnell LLP  
Wilmington, DE

**Jillian Askren**  
U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Virginia  
Norfolk, VA

**Elizabeth Barry**  
Woods Rogers  
Roanoke, VA

**Mike Beville**  
Hunton & Williams  
Richmond, VA

**Charlie Brewer**  
Hunton & Williams  
Richmond, VA

**Chris Browne**  
Potter Anderson & Corroon  
Wilmington, DE

**Kaitlin Cannavo**  
Troutman Sanders  
Richmond, VA

**Kelsey Castleberry**  
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom  
New York, NY

**Matthew Chiarello**  
Snell & Wilmer LLP  
Phoenix, AZ

**Charles Cox**  
Goodwin Proctor  
Washington, D.C.

**Aaron Gold**  
Hon. Anne M. Patterson Supreme Court of New Jersey  
Morristown, NJ

**Kang He**  
Hon. John Tran  
Fairfax Circuit Court  
Fairfax, VA

**Brooke Hettig**  
Norfolk Circuit Court  
Norfolk, VA

**Andrew Iammarino**  
Jones Day  
Cleveland, OH

**Chris Kaltsas**  
New Jersey Superior Court, Appellate Division  
Riker, Danzig, Scherer, Hyland & Peretti  
Morristown, NJ

**Nate Kinard**  
Hon. Andrew J. Kleinfeld  
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit  
Fairbanks, AK

**Lorin Klescun**  
Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy  
New York, NY

**Will Ladin**  
Hon. Sharon G. Lee  
Tennessee Supreme Court  
Knoxville, TN

**Kelly Martin**  
McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC  
Harrisburg, PA

**Karel Mazanec**  
Venable LLP  
Washington, D.C.

**Maximilian Meese**  
The Webb Law Firm  
Pittsburgh, PA

**Rebecca Mishner**  
Silent Partners Group  
East Brunswick, NJ

**Shari Nikoo**  
U.S. Government Accountability Office  
Washington, D.C.

**Kevin Ryan**  
New Jersey Superior Court  
Morristown, NJ

**Jillian Schultz**  
U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Virginia  
Norfolk, VA

**Colleen Smith**  
Hon. Liam O’Grady  
Eastern District of Virginia  
Alexandria, VA  
Covington & Burling  
Washington, D.C.

**Peter Vogel**  
Reed Smith, LLP  
Washington, D.C.

**Anjali Vohra**  
Nixon Peabody LLP  
Washington, D.C.

**Meredith Weinberg**  
Nelson Mullins  
Columbia, SC

**Christine Wilson**  
Ropes & Gray LLP  
New York, NY

**Debbie Wong**  
Hon. Glen E. Conrad  
Western District of Virginia  
Roanoke, VA  
K&L Gates LLP  
Washington, D.C.
With a discerning eye and countless hours spent poring over thousands of submissions, Volume 56's Articles Selection Committee did an outstanding job selecting the articles featured this year in the Law Review. Below is a brief sample of some selected articles.

Two articles examine litigation financing and control. Jay Tidmarsh details in Auctioning Class Settlements a method to limit a class action's representative and counsel from opportunistic settlements. He argues that when a settlement is reached, the court should auction the suit to a third party. This deters opportunistic behavior by the representative and counsel, and the outcome of the auction serves as evidence of the settlement’s fairness. Writing on a similar tack, Anthony Sebok attacks the justifications for preventing parties from selling control of their lawsuits in Should the Law Preserve Party Control? Looking for comparison to the now-common alienation of control in subrogation and liability insurance suits, he finds that courts should allow sale of party control to a stranger.

Juries receive attention too. In Group Agency and Legal Proof, Michael Pardo explores the effects of viewing the jury as a single, group agent, parsing out precisely what aspects of a fact pattern jurors must agree on to find that the plaintiff or prosecution satisfied its burden. Tania Tetlow, in contrast, does not even reach the merits. In Solving Batson, she presents the first exposition of how a Sixth Amendment basis for regulating juror diversity would operate in practice, arguing that Batson's focus on jurors' equal protection rights impedes the primary goal of accurate jury decisions.

Theories of statutory interpretation make an appearance. Mark Seidenfeld posits in A Process Failure Theory of Statutory Interpretation a comprehensive theory of when courts should look to legislative history. In (unfair) brevity, courts should examine legislative history when there is reason to believe that Congress is unaware that a court would interpret the text's meaning differently from Congress. He helpfully analyzes particular circumstances where this incongruity is likely to be present. Zooming out to a decidedly more theoretical level, Paul Kahn and Kiel Brennan-Marquez argue in Statutes and Democratic Self-Authorship that due to the nature of self-rule, it must be the people who are the authors of statutes, not the legislature, who only draft the statutes. This leads to the conclusion that the "role of courts is to explain the law as advancing a public purpose that the people can imagine as their own."

Several articles unabashedly challenged major aspects of our legal system. In a piece already attracting attention in academic circles, Martin Redish and Jennifer Aronoff argue in The Real Problem with State Judicial Selection that judicial retention elections create constitutionally significant Due Process problems. Julia Simon-Kerr makes similarly significant charges in Systemic Lying, discussing circumstances where there is widespread lying in the judicial system, explainable by the liar’s belief that the lie furthers a higher cause. She observes that while these lies are strong evidence of “collective dissonance between moral beliefs and [our justice system’s] legal prescriptions,” the benefits of those lies (if any) are outweighed by the undermining of our legal system.

Other articles focus on particularly timely issues. Jessica Roberts theorizes in Protecting Privacy to Prevent Discrimination that limiting employer access to certain private information (e.g. DNA) can prevent discrimination against employees for non-obvious traits (e.g. national origin). Jean Galbraith’s article, Congress’s Treaty-Implementing Power in Historical Practice, follows closely behind the Supreme Court’s recent decision in United States v. Bond. Addressing an issue the Bond Court left unresolved, Galbraith finds a congressional practice of viewing the Necessary and Proper Clause.
The Scholarship of Volume 56

ISSUE ONE (OCTOBER 2014)

Articles:
Jean Galbraith, Congress's Treaty-Implementing Power in Historical Practice
Paul W. Kahn & Kiel Brennan-Marquez, Statutes and Democratic Self-Authorship
Daryl J. Levinson, Incapacitating the State
Jay Tidmarsh, Auctioning Class Settlements

Notes:
Christopher G. Browne, Tortured Prosecuting: Closing the Gap in Virginia's Criminal Code By Adding A Torture Statute
August T. Johannsen, Mitigating the Impact of Title VII's New Retaliation Standard: The Americans With Disabilities Act After University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center v. Nassar

ISSUE TWO (NOVEMBER 2014)

Articles:
Franklin A. Gevurtz, Determining Extraterritoriality
Zachary J. Gubler, Reconsidering the Institutional Design of Federal Securities Regulation
Mark Sodenfeld, A Process Failure Theory of Statutory Interpretation
John F. Stinneford, Death, Desuetude, and Original Meaning

Notes:
Henry D. Alderfer, Of Pornography Pirates and Privateers: Applying FDCPA Principles To Copyright Trolling Litigation
Karel Mazanec, Capping E-Discovery Costs: A Hybrid Solution To E-Discovery Abuse

ISSUE THREE (FEBRUARY 2015)

Articles:
Eric Berger, The Rhetoric of Constitutional Absolutism
Tonja Jacobi & Jonah Kind, Criminal Innovation and the Warrant Requirement: Reconsidering the Rights-Police Efficiency Trade-Off
Anthony J. Sebok, Should the Law Preserve Party Control?: Litigation Investment, Insurance Law, and Double Standards
J.H. Verkerke, Legal Ignorance and Information-Forcing Rules

Notes:
Christopher Kaltzas, Harmony at the Farm: Rediscovering the “Community” in Community Supported Agriculture
Emily K. Strider, Don’t Text a Driver: Civil Liability of Remote Third-Party Texters After Kubert v. Best

ISSUE FOUR (APRIL 2015)

Articles:
Ashutosh Bhagwat, Producing Speech
Joseph Blocher, New Problems for Subsidized Speech
Julie E. Cohen, The Zombie First Amendment
John D. Inazu, The First Amendment’s Public Forum
Leslie Kendrick, First Amendment Expansionism
Heidi Kitrosser, Leak Prosecution and the First Amendment: New Developments and a Closer Look at the Feasibility of Protecting Leakers
Ronald J. Krotoszynski, Jr., Reconciling Privacy and Speech in the Era of Big Data: A Comparative Legal Analysis
Gregory P. Magarian, The Marrow of Tradition: The Roberts Court and Categorical First Amendment Speech Exclusions
Mary-Rose Papandrea, National Security Information Disclosures and the Role of Intent
Martin H. Redish & Kelsey B. Shust, The Right of Publicity and the First Amendment in the Modern Age of Commercial Speech
Neil M. Richards, Why Data Privacy Law Is (Mostly) Constitutional
Mark D. Rosen, When are Constitutional Rights Non-Absolute? McCutcheon, Conflicts, and the Sufficiency Question
Frederick Shauer, The Politics and Incentives of First Amendment Coverage
Mark Tushnet, Internet Exceptionalism: An Overview from General Constitutional Law

ISSUE FIVE (MAY 2015)

Articles:
Margaret M. Blair & Elizabeth Pollman, The Derivative Nature of Corporate Constitutional Rights
Irina D. Manta, Intellectual Property and the Presumption of Innocence
Michael S. Pardo, Group Agency and Legal Proof; or, Why the Jury is an “It”
Tania Tetlow, Solving Batson

Notes:
James Andris, Adopting Proactive Standards to Protect Americans in Indoor Environments: Volatile Organic Compound Emissions Regulation
Maximilian Meese, A Pasture Theory of Creative Controls: A New Approach to Copyright and Patent Subject Matter Overgrowth

ISSUE SIX (JUNE 2015)

Articles:
Erin Morrow Hawley, The Supreme Court’s Quiet Revolution: Redefining the Meaning of Jurisdiction
Jessica L. Roberts, Protecting Privacy to Protect Discrimination
Julia Simon-Kerr, Systemic Lying
Brian Z. Tamanaha, The Third Pillar of Jurisprudence: Social-Legal Theory

Notes:
Matthew Chiarello, Settling the Long War: Alternative Dispute Resolution & the War on Terror
Aaron Gold, Obscured by Clouds: Searching Cloud Storage Accounts through Locally Installed Software
The Notes of Volume 57

Each year, students submit many excellent notes for consideration by the Notes Committee. Congratulations to the following authors whose notes have been selected for publication in Volume 57 of the William & Mary Law Review.

Jacob Derr, *Measuring Mergers: Limiting Cable’s Uninhibited Growth, Protecting Market Competition, and Helping the Television Consumer*

Carrie Miller, *Exposing Politically Active Tax-Exempt Groups Through FEC-IRS Hybrid Enforcement*

Connor Baer, *Drugs for the Indigent: A Proposal to Revise the 340B Drug Pricing Program*

Cameron Ginder, *NCAA and the Rule of Reason: Analyzing Improved Education Quality as a Procompetitive Justification*

Justin Pierce, *Shifting Data Breach Liability: A Congressional Approach*

Liz Rademacher, *The Beginning of the End: Using Ohio’s Plan to Eliminate Juvenile Solitary Confinement as a Model for Statutory Elimination of Juvenile Solitary Confinees*

Melissa Fussell, *Dead Men Bring No Claims: How Takings Claims Can Provide Redress for Victims of Real Property Theft during Dixie’s Holocaust*

Matthew Jewitt, *Encouraging Transportation-Oriented Development in the United States through the Application of “Earned-as-of-Location” Credits*

John Sanders, *A Tiny Fish with a Big Problem: Natives, Elvers, and the Maine Indian Claims Settlement Act of 1980*

Ryan Schuster, *Roe’s Awkward Cousin: A Case Against Wrongful Life*

The Nation’s Top Law Journals

*The William & Mary Law Review* continues to be well regarded by the legal academy and the judiciary. The most recent law review ranking, compiled by Washington & Lee, places the *Law Review* as the twenty-first-ranked journal based on the number of citations to the *Review* in legal scholarship and judicial opinions.

1. Stanford Law Review
2. Harvard Law Review
3. The Yale Law Journal
4. Columbia Law Review
5. University of Pennsylvania Law Review
6. The Georgetown Law Journal
7. UCLA Law Review
8. Michigan Law Review
9. Virginia Law Review
10. Texas Law Review
11. Minnesota Law Review
12. California Law Review
13. Northwestern University Law Review
15. Cornell Law Review
17. Fordham Law Review
18. Iowa Law Review
19. Notre Dame Law Review
20. Vanderbilt Law Review
21. William & Mary Law Review
22. Boston University Law Review
23. Boston College Law Review
24. University of Illinois Law Review
25. The University of Chicago Law Review
Alumni Donation Form

Don’t forget, donations to the William & Mary School of Law may be earmarked to Law Review.

Name_______________________________________________________________________________

Work Address _______________________________________________________________________

Home Address _______________________________________________________________________

Phone (day) _______________________________ (evening) _______________________________

Graduation Year ___________________ Donation Amount _______________________________

Is there a specific need to which you would like your contribution applied?

__________________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________________

Comments/suggestions __________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________________

Please make checks payable to “Marshall-Wythe School of Law Foundation” and indicate in the memo line that you would like your contribution to be applied to William & Mary Law Review activities. The Foundation is a 501(c)(3) corporation; any contribution is tax deductible.

Mail to: William & Mary School of Law
Attn: Executive Editor, William & Mary Law Review
P.O. Box 8795
Williamsburg, VA 23187-8795

Thank you for your support of the William & Mary Law Review. Please feel free to contact us at (757) 221-3860 or lawreview@email.wm.edu.
Support Your Law Review
Order a Subscription

Subscription Request Form

Name__________________________________________________________

Mailing Address________________________________________________________________________

Phone (day) ______________________________________ (evening) ______________________

E-mail _____________________________________________________________________________

William & Mary Law Review is published six times per year.
☐ $40.00 domestic rate for Volume 56  ☐ $8.00 per current issue domestic mailing
☐ $44.00 foreign rate for Volume 5  ☐ $9.00 per current issue foreign mailing

Absent receipt of written notice to the contrary, subscriptions to the William & Mary Law Review will be renewed automatically each year.

Please make checks payable to William & Mary Law

Mail to:  William & Mary School of Law
          Attn: Executive Editor, William & Mary Law Review
          P.O. Box 8795
          Williamsburg, VA 23187-8795